

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

----- X  
In re : Chapter 9  
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846  
Debtor : Judge Thomas J. Tucker  
----- X

**ORDER SUSTAINING DEBTOR'S  
NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS  
(No Support Claims)**

Upon the ninth omnibus objection to claims, dated February 13, 2015 (Docket # 9261, the "Objection"),<sup>1</sup> of the Debtor, the City of Detroit, Michigan (the "City"), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging certain no support claims as described in the Objection, and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Objection in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the City, and its creditors; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been given as provided in the Objection; and it appearing that no other or further notice of the Objection need be given; and no response to the Objection having been filed, other than as stated herein; and the Court finding that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief

---

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

granted; and the Court having held a hearing on the Objection on April 8, 2015; and after due deliberation and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Objection is sustained as set forth herein.
2. All of the proofs of claim listed in the “No Support Claims” column in Exhibit 2 annexed to the Objection (the “No Support Claims”) are disallowed and expunged in their entirety, under Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, other than those to which such objection was withdrawn by the City, as described below.
3. Claim No. 544 filed by Larry Hurwitz, to which the City withdrew its objection (the “Withdrawn Objection Claim”) will remain on the claims register, and is not disallowed or expunged by this Order, subject to any future objection or order of this Court on any basis.
4. The City’s claims agent is authorized to update the claims register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.
5. The City’s rights and arguments in respect of any claims sought to be disallowed in the Objection that are not disallowed by this Order, and any Withdrawn Objection Claim, are unaffected and preserved, and this Order has no res judicata, estoppel or other effect in respect of such remaining claims.
6. The City is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Objection.
7. This Order will affect only those claims that are subject to treatment in Classes 3, 14, or 15 under the Plan.
8. Each claim and the objections by the City to each claim as addressed in the Objection and set forth in Exhibit 2 of the Objection constitutes a separate contested matter as

contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim. Any stay of this Order shall apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby, and further provided that the City shall have the right to submit a separate order with respect to contested matters or claims.

9. Notice of the Objection as provided therein is good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) and the local rules of the Court are satisfied by such notice.

**Signed on April 10, 2015**

/s/ Thomas J. Tucker  
**Thomas J. Tucker**  
**United States Bankruptcy Judge**